

WORK SESSION OF MARCH 20, 2007

Mayor Weinstein called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

PRESENT: Mayor Weinstein, Deputy Mayors Etlar and Tedeschi, Councilmembers Baratta and Trawinski.

ALSO PRESENT: Manager Metzler, Municipal Clerk Kwasniewski and Attorney Rosenberg.

REVIEW OF THE TENTATIVE AGENDA (3/27/07)

Municipal Clerk Kwasniewski indicated that she would like to add a Proclamation for National Child Abuse Prevention Month, a resolution cancelling the taxes for St. Leon's Armenian Church and the approval of the minutes of the January 27, 2007 Budget meeting and January 30, 2007 Regular Meeting and Closed Session.

CREATION OF BOROUGH GREEN COMMITTEE

Deputy Mayor Tedeschi proposed creating a green committee. He mentioned the organization called the International Council for Local Environmental Issues. River Edge, Oradell, Haworth, Closter, New Milford, and Teaneck have formed green committees. The committee's job is to reduce carbon dioxide emissions in the community. The committee would conduct a survey of Fair Lawn's current global warming issues. The information is available from various sources. They would create a plan to reduce the carbon dioxide which might include a green vehicle fleet, energy efficiency within the municipality, etc. Then the plan would be implemented. They could reach out to the Board of Education. He thought if they could do something locally. At some point they may be able to offer incentives for those who convert their homes to more energy efficiency.

Deputy Mayor Etlar asked if this project would be competing with the Environmental Commission. Deputy Mayor Tedeschi stated this committee's job is to identify specific reduction areas that are beyond the other environmental issues. There are people on the Environmental Commission who might be interested in serving on this committee. It is a way to get the municipality to get the residents to reduce the carbon dioxide emissions by 7 percent. Councilmember Trawinski suggested asking the Environmental Commission if they would be interested in taking on this responsibility. Deputy Mayor Tedeschi will reach out to Wendy Dabney.

Councilmember Baratta asked what funds would be required from the Borough. Deputy Mayor Tedeschi envisioned that they would have a budget line item that would allow them to reimburse residents for half the cost of converting their house. There are grants that will fund some of the items.

Councilmember Trawinski had the editorial from the Record about the European Union doing something for the countries in the EU. The issue is that they have to start somewhere. They have talked about eliminating some of the gas guzzlers and shifting to hybrid vehicles. Atlantic City's wind farm provides 20 percent of the power for Atlantic City.

Manager Metzler asked them to consider a resolution urging the legislature to move hybrid vehicles outside the cap.

There was a unanimous consensus to create a green committee.

There was a unanimous consensus to pass a resolution asking the legislature to move hybrid vehicles outside the cap. A copy of that resolution should go to Assemblyman Gordon, Assemblywoman Voss, Senator Coniglio and the League of Municipalities.

APRIL MEETING DATES

Municipal Clerk Kwasniewski had sent them a memo suggesting alternative dates for their meetings in April. Although Mayor Weinstein is on vacation on March 24, he indicated that they should still hold the meeting.

It was agreed that the meeting schedule will be as follows: on March 27 there will be a Regular Meeting, a Work Session and a Closed Session; there would be no meeting on April 4; on April 10 there would be a Regular Meeting which includes the public hearing of the budget; there would be no work session on April 18 unless there is an emergency and then on April 24 there would be a Regular Meeting and a Work Session.

PLACEMENT OF TRAFFIC CONES

Manager Metzler asked for a consensus to put the cones back out at select intersections.

Deputy Mayor Etler stated that they have had them out twice and the purpose was to find out information. He sees no purpose in putting them out again. He would not support it.

Councilmember Baratta disagreed and supported putting them out again. Councilmember Trawinski asked if they would have specific recommendations.

Manager Metzler stated that the original placement of the cones was to find out where they would want to put bump outs. The purpose now is to slow traffic down and have the impact the bump outs would have. A report was given by the Engineer and the Traffic Safety Officer to the Traffic Safety Committee. They have made a request to put the cones back out. He reiterated that putting the cones out will slow the traffic down. Councilmember Baratta added that it gives the pedestrian a safer crossing.

The consensus was to put out the cones, with Deputy Mayor Etler dissenting.

CHANGE ORDER - MUNICIPAL BUILDING 9-11 MEMORIAL

Manager Metzler reported that there is a change order for \$6,180 for the Memorial. When they did the excavation they found old concrete footings that had to be removed and they had to relocate the underground roof drain pipe system. The total project cost was \$39,875. The change order is 15.5 percent.

There was a unanimous consent to approve the change order.

The meeting was recessed to move from Room 201 to the Council Chambers for the Clariant forum.

Mayor Weinstein reconvened the meeting at 7:30 p.m.

Mayor Weinstein welcomed everyone and read a statement explaining the purpose and format of the meeting. He then introduced Assemblyman Gordon.

Assemblyman Gordon thanked the Council for calling the meeting and the DEP representatives for attending to provide their technical expertise. In the fall of 2006 there were press reports describing the proposals for Clariant and he started to get calls expressing concern about the impact of developing that site for residential uses. There were situations that showed that government had failed to monitor contaminated sites. He wanted to make sure that the Clariant site received the monitoring and attention that it should. He wrote letters to the DEP urging that they carefully monitor the remediation and the raising specific questions about vapor intrusion. He expressed concern about the project's impact on traffic congestion and air pollution and the risks to the fish weir. He was contacted by John Hazen, the legislative liaison to the DEP who arranged a briefing for him at the State House with the site manager of the project. They described the subsurface contamination. There has been a pump and treatment program which would have to continue even if the site was developed. Vapor intrusion was not likely because of the type of contamination. He concluded by urging the Council to make sure that they require the developer to contribute to substantial offsite improvements.

Mayor Weinstein asked the panelists to introduce themselves: Steve Mayberry, DEP Bureau Chief; Maurice Migliarino, DEP Section Chief; Christopher Blake, DEP Case Manager, Mike Teague, Clariant Vice President of Environmental Safety since 1989; Randy Kullman, a scientist with Camp Desser & McKee, Inc. the environmental consultant since 1989; Zach Main Cap Desser & McKee, Inc. project Engineer since 2002; Calisto J. Bertin, PE Shellmarc, LLC the engineer who prepared the design of the redevelopment of the site and Bob McNerney principal with Shellmarc, LLC. involved with the project since the fall of 2004.

Mike Teague gave a power point presentation giving the site history. Last year there was a small contingent of employees but they are gone. There is no activity left. Clariant has been remediating this site since 1992. Dichlorate Benzene is the main contaminant. The entire site has been investigated. More than 100 groundwater monitoring wells have been installed. Hundreds of samples have been taken. The underground storage tank area and the lime pit have been identified as the contaminated areas. The fill that was brought in during the 1940s was contaminated. The PAH and PCB levels are above the NJ DEP standards because of the contaminated fill that was brought in.

He listed the remedial actions that have taken place since 1993. They have made significant progress in cleaning up the site. They believe that they have reached the end of the useful life of the

technology they have been using. They have to do something different that will destroy the contamination in the ground rather than pumping it out. They did an ISCO pilot study under the direction and supervision of the DEP which was very successful. They believe this technology will Page Four

Work Session

March 20,

2007

have a much bigger and broader impact on the reduction of the contamination at the site. They will continue the pump and treat system. They may have to construct an underground barrier wall. They will have to dig up some of the soil and remove it. There will be some soil capping.

He presented a proposed action schedule for each remedial action. They expect that in early 2010 they would submit their action report to the DEP.

He concluded that Clariant is responsible for the contamination and they are responsible for cleaning it up and they will clean it up to the DEP standards before anything else is done on the site.

Michael Rooney, 14 Burnham Place stated that he is speaking for CCRF. He asked if it is the intention to complete the remediation before development begins. Mr. Teague stated that development cannot begin until DEP say so. Stephen Maybury stated that the contamination is only in certain areas of the site. They have standards which have to be met before they allow residential development. The development cannot impede the remediation. Where the development will occur on the site and the specific risks for the site is considered.

Mr. Rooney stated it was their contention that it is too risky to allow any development.

Mr. Maybury stated that the statute mandates that a cap is used for historical fill. Clariant has to show that the cap would be 100 percent protected. Caps are used for direct contaminants that do not move through the soil readily. The DEP would not allow Clariant to cap the contaminants on this site. They would look at the specific situations. There are situations where caps are acceptable and others where they are not.

Bob Gremilot, 1 Bristol Place and a member of CCRF mentioned the article that appeared in the Record entitled "DEP Lacks Teeth." He asked the DEP representatives for their judgment on this site which he thought was a chemical wasteland at the present moment. Mr. Maybury indicated that they would not call it a chemical wasteland. There are certain areas that are contaminated above DEP standards. Clariant is working on meeting those standards. There has been a great deal of investigation to determine the levels, where it is, where it going and what it may be

impacting. That is why it takes years to study the site. The investigation is ongoing. Clariant is trying to destroy the contamination with their next plan.

Mr. Gremilot asked the DEP if they had enough safeguards in place that this site will be cleaned up. Mr. Maybury responded that they did.

Susan Fishbein, 12 Bancroft Place and a member of CCRF stated that since the amounts of the contaminants are in excess of the allowable amounts particularly by the historic fill area, she asked for the specific plans for cleaning up that area. Mr. Maybury stated that many areas along the waterways were backfilled before there were regulations. The State's remedy for that is a cap because it is not practical to remove it. Once a site is capped, it must be monitored by the responsible party, the owner of the property and the DEP.

Barbara Gremilot, 1 Bristol Place and a member of CCRF asked about the fractured bedrock.

Mr. Teague explained that the bedrock is going to take longer to remediate. Ms. Gremilot asked Page Five

Work Session

March 20,

2007

what happens to the people who live there. Mr. Blake stated that this is not the source for the drinking water for that site. The bedrock is very deep. It is hundreds of feet below ground. It is not posing a risk. Mr. Maybury explained that the investigation tells them the location of the materials. There is still some investigation that must be conducted but they know a fair amount about where the contamination is. It is not in the residential area. The DEP is concerned about the River contamination. There are natural organisms in the water that do natural remediation. There are no guarantees in remediation, but they make sure that they know where the contamination is and who might be impacted. They strive to get the contamination to a point where natural remediation will take care of it.

Stanley Hayden, 12 Beekman Place questioned the plume from the Lyons Pierce Dyeworks flowing to the Clariant property bringing contaminants. Mr. Blake stated that he did not manage that site but he did know that based on the surface water samples there is no contamination. Randy Kullman stated that they have monitored the surface waters for more than 15 years. Although there were a few incidents where the levels exceeded the standards, it was before they started the pump and treat program. Mr. Blake stated that since the commencement of the clean up activity Clariant is not contaminating the River.

Mr. Hayden expressed concern that the plume will move toward the wells and pollute them. Mr. Hayden wanted to know how reliable the models were. Mr. Blake stated that they calibrate and update the models based on the data they collect. All the water in the Fair Lawn Memorial Park well field is currently treated already by air stripping wells and tested on a monthly basis. They have also have off site wells that are monitored. Mr. Mayberry added that they make sure that they have data to support the models. They do not take mathematical models alone.

Mr. Hayden stated that in 17 years the benzenes have not been reduced to the acceptable

standards. He wondered how long it will take to reach acceptable standards. Mr. Teague stated they have reached the end of the useful life of the present technology. They are looking at new technology to destroy the contamination in the ground much faster than they have been able to remove it. This technology is a proven technology around the country.

Mr. Hayden wondered why the levels went up if they are cleaning the site. Mr. Kullman replied that ground water concentrations fluctuate but they are going down. They are trying to address some of the source material. In three years they will be done with the aggressive technology to remove the source material that is causing the ground water concentrations to be so elevated. There will be ongoing ground water pump and treat containment to contain any residual concentration. Mr. Kullman stated that Mr. Hayden was look at an EPA report and he was looking at the difference between the ground water standards and the standard in the River. They are not comparing anything to that standard to anything other than the River. DEP compares to the actual surface water quality standards.

Robert Gullack, 4 Bancroft Place asked for a clarification on capping. Mr. Mayberry stated he was speaking about the problems of historic fill. Mr. Gullack thought that in order for the public to assess the government reports, they should have the citations so they can weigh whether they are sufficiently protected. Mr. Mayberry replied that the State has its standards for ground water and soil. Clariant's goal is to meet those standards. They have been asked to return the ground water to State standards. Mr. Mayberry offered to give him the citation. The regulations are on the website.

Page Seven

Work Session

March 20, 2007

Suzanne DiGeronimo, 16 Beekman Place stated that Fair Lawn has paid a town planner to look at rezoning issues. Typically municipalities require escrow deposits from applicants. She asked Shellmarc if they would be willing to put up an escrow deposit so that Fair Lawn could hire an environmental engineer. Mr. McNerney replied that escrow deposits are typically required at the site plan portion of the application. If Fair Lawn requires them to post deposits to reimburse their experts, they will do so.

Ms. DiGeronimo asked about the contaminants in the Sandoz buildings that are still standing. Mr. Teague stated that virtually all the friable asbestos was removed in 2000. There is some non friable asbestos remaining that will be taken care of during demolition.

Ms. DiGeronimo then asked if any testing has been done to determine the levels of contamination in the building. Mr. Kullman stated that there is no testing required but it may be done during demolition. Ms DiGeronimo stated that there is a new requirement for DCA on the sale of townhouse units requiring a full remediation work plan before deposits can be taken. She wondered why the DCA was not present at this meeting. Mr. McNerney stated that they have no plan in place so they have not notified the DCA. At the point they sell townhouse units the site will be clean.

Arlene Rubinstein, 28 Rutgers Terrace asked why Clariant was removed from the superfund list without notification to anyone. Mr. Teague stated that is the US EPA list.

Joanne Dutzar, 12-63 3rd Street, coordinator of the Memorial North Block Watch Association expressed concern about the State of New Jersey rushing to clean up brownfields sites. She felt that the Council should not allow building until it is safe.

Howard Mark, 12-23 Ferry Heights asked how the floods would affect the surface ground water. Mr. Bertin stated that the flood area is in the northwest corner of the site. The contamination is not in the area. Mr. Mark asked how the construction of houses affect the movement of the contamination of the ground water. Mr. Bertin stated that part of the site is paved so they will take the surface water to their own detention basin located in areas where it is alright to disperse it into the river.

Ferdinand Calderone, 15-09 George asked them if they were doing everything they can to clean that site. Mr. Teague replied that they were. Mr. Calderone asked if when they were done would it be safe to build. Mr. Teague replied that it would be cleaned up to DEP standards. Mr. Calderone thought that building condos would add to the tax base so it should be good for the town. He did not want to see it left as it is which is a big mess. Many of the people speaking tonight do not even live on this side of town. He urged them to get it done.

Ron D'Aurizio, 15-16 4th Street indicated that he was a 35-year resident and lived in the neighborhood. For 35 years sit has been contaminated. They have come to remedy the situation. He does not understand why everyone is upset about cleaning it up. He is concerned about contamination but he thought if they can get it clean up they should just do it.

Don Smartt, for the RRIC stated that he has spoken to a number of the residents who have lived with Clariant for a long time. He asked if the site as it is now poses a hazard to the neighbors. Page Eight
Work
Session
March 20,
2007

Mr. Teague stated that there is no imminent health threat. Obviously there is contamination that is above standards which must be remedied. The risk comes with direct contact but there is no one living on the site.

Mr. Smartt continued that they have heard repeatedly that there are remedies approved by the State that the owners of the property must undertake to remediate to the best of technology and science. They are active remediation strategies in place. The State has the responsibility for insuring the completion of those remediation standards and the owners have the responsibility to obtain a letter of no further action. The owner of the property even though they sell is still responsible for that clean up. He wondered how many other sites are currently under remediation in Fair Lawn. Mr. Maybury did not know. Mr. Smartt indicated he was aware of several within the River Road corridor as well as Kodak and Fisher Scientific. Mr. Smartt wanted to know at what point from the DEP's perspective is development on this site allowed. He wanted to know if development can occur before the issuance of a no further action letter. Mr. Teague stated that it could occur before a no further action letter. There will be a need for ongoing remediation for a long time. The other areas of the site that are not impacted could be given a no further action letter that would allow building on certain areas of the site. Most of the problems

are in the area of the lime pit and building in that area would be problematic.

Mr. Smartt then asked if the remediation to date was sufficient to allow building on portions of that site. Mr. Maybury stated that they are not willing to make that determination. They will be working with the local government when it can move forward. They have to have all the information.

Mr. Smartt asked Clariant if they had a time line. Mr. Teague stated that the remedial action work plan will be submitted to the DWP by the summer of 2007. Mr. Maybury thought it would take the DEP about four months to review it. Mr. Smartt asked if the ground water contamination had any impact to the portions of the site where building is designed to take place. Mr. Maybury stated that they would be looking at potential health impacts. Realistically the primary concern would be vapor intrusions.

Mr. Smartt concluded that it is a process that the Council and the developer must abide by the DEP's decision.

Tim Bogert, 15-15 Raymond Street asked if Clariant was legally responsible for cleaning the site and if they did not were there penalties. He was advised there were. The DEP oversees the site. The developer is not going to building on it until the DEP tells him he can. He thought they were trying to do what was necessary to remediate the situation and give the area a better site than it is now. He asked if townhouses on the site were going to pollute the river. Mr. Maybury said it was not going to. He asked how far below the surface ground water was. Mr. Blake said there was water at about 30 feet that is in silt and gravel. At 60 to 70 feet there is bedrock. There is water on the bedrock and beneath it. It was not very likely that it would come to the surface. The biggest concern is the vapor. The plan that was presented at the meeting is very aggressive.

Mr. Bogert asked about the traffic patterns. Mr. Bertin stated traffic issues will be addressed at the site plan review. Mr. Bogert concluded that this facility is dormant right now but there is nothing to stop it from opening and running three shifts, with 300 employees and tractor trailers coming to the site every day.

Page Nine

Work Session

March 20, 2007

David Prindle, 3-33 Walnut Street, Cranford of NJ Environmental Federation asked if the DEP will assess penalties if Clariant is slow in cleaning up. Mr. Blake stated they would. Mr. Prindle did not think they made much progress in the last 15 years. He asked the DEP if Clariant progress was typical. Mr. Blake stated that Clariant is one of the more responsible parties. Mr. Prindle asked if the no further action letter means the site is safe or that it meets State standards. Mr. Maybury stated that it meets State standards.

Mr. Prindle questioned the effectiveness of capping. He recommended that they not make the zoning change until the remediation is completed. He then asked how long it will be before they receive a no further action letter. Mr. Maybury stated the next work plan is due in July which will then take four to six months for them to review it.

Larry Greenburg, 15-21 Raymond Street expressed his appreciation for the straightforward way they have answered the questions. He asked Mr. Teague about the ISCO process. Mr. Kullman stated it is salt not hydrochloric acid that is generated.

Kathy Moore, 13-16 Plaza Road wanted to know what direction the ground water from the site is

going to flow. Mr. Kullman replied that it is south west. There are more than 100 testing wells on the property. Ms. Moore wanted to know if there were any wells off the property further south than Fair Lawn Avenue. Mr. Kullman stated there were none because there is no contamination that far south. They have clean water in the property to the south. Ms. Moore thought the homes to the south should be tested for vapor intrusion. Mr. Kullman stated that PC's and TC's are more of a problem for vapor intrusion. There are engineering controls to mitigate vapor intrusion. Ms. Moore wanted to know how long the ISCO technology has been in use. Mr. Kullman replied ten years. It mineralizes the contaminants which is the best possible scenario.

Stuart Shaw, 19-32 Chandler Drive stated that he was concerned about the procedures this evening. Most of the people are present because the Mayor and Council insist on building on this site. Mayor Weinstein stated that is not a true statement nor is it a question. Mr. Shaw asked if Mark Saperstein is a principal in Shellmarc and if he is related to Barry Weinstein. Mayor Weinstein stated that everyone knows he is related but that has nothing to do with the environmental issues. Mr. Shaw then asked why they did not consider that a conflict of interest since he is the Chairman of the Planning Board.

Mayor Weinstein stated that Mr. Shaw was out of order.

Upon a motion by Mayor Weinstein and a second by Deputy Mayor Tedeschi Stuart Shaw was ruled out of order with Councilmember Baratta dissenting.

Councilmember Trawinski stated that there will be a time for those questions to be addressed but there are a number of individuals who have come from the DEP and the developer to answer specific questions. Mr. Shaw's questions would be appropriate at another time. The questions should be confined to the environmental issues.

Harvey Rubinstein, 28 Rutgers Terraced asked for the life span of the geotextile used for capping.

Mr. Kullman replied that it is typically 20 to 30 years when it is on the surface used for

Page Ten

Work Session

March 20, 2007

stabilization but this is different from using capping as the permanent remedy. That capping is just putting clean soil over soils that do not meet the DEP requirements. Every two years they have to make sure that the clean fill is in place. Standards are based on children eating the soil.

Mr. Rubinstein asked who the responsible party will be in 20 years. Mr. Maybury stated that Clariant is the responsible party under ISRA and will remain responsible under the NJ Spill Compensation Control Act. Mr. Rubinstein stated if it was true that the polluter or the developer selects the remedy and the use of a cap is provided in the law as a remedy, there is no way for the cap to be blocked. Mr. Maybury stated they look at the specifications of the cap. The concept of the cap is allowed under the law. They have ground water, surface water and soil clean up standards for remediation.

Evelyn McHugh, 15-21 Raymond Street noted that there is air sparging being done and she wondered if the air was being monitored so that these materials are not getting air borne. Mr. Blake stated that permits have to be obtained and all the systems are actively monitored and sampled. There are air quality standards in place.

Rita Golding, 14 Rutger Terrace asked if there were two standards for remediation. Mr. Teague

explained that there are residential standards and all others. Ms. Golding thought they were other things that could go on that site beside townhouses.

Stuart Golding, 14 Rutgers Terrace asked about the data about the effectiveness of a cap over time. Mr. Maybury stated that there are certain materials they do not allow to cap. For materials that do not move a cap is allowed as long as it is properly maintained and checked. DEP is required to check it every five years. At a minimum yearly inspections are required and reports must be submitted to the DEP. DEP has a cap inspection program. Mr. Kullman stated that the responsible party is required to inspect every two years.

Mr. Golding asked for an estimate on how long it will take to clean up that site. Mr. Teague stated that they estimated filing the final report in May 2010. Mr. Kullman stated that there will be on going remediation. There will be on going ground water remediation. Mr. Golding did not think there should be any building going on while the remediation continues.

Pamela Coles, 13-34 George Street wanted to know where else the ISCO system is being used. Mr. Bertin stated that it is used at service station sites for the final clean up. Mr. Blake stated that it will only be used in two small areas which is about two acres. There will be excavation as well. He has a few sites that he manages that will be using this system. Mr. Maybury stated that before implementing the system they do pilot studies which is what Clariant has done. They test a small area. Before implementing the plan, Clariant has to submit the results of the pilot study. Mr. Kullman stated they have tested it for threes both in the lab and in the ground. Mr. Teague added that this is for the area that is separate from the area for the housing.

Ms. Coles asked about the plan for the removal of the contamination. Mr. Kullman stated that it will be put into special containers that are covered. Vapor suppression foam is used during the excavation. It is a controlled process. There are standards that have to be met.

Page Eleven

Work Session

March 20, 2007

Ms. Coles asked how often the DEP comes. Mr. Blake stated that he had been to the site four or five times in 2005. He was out several times during the pilot study. He tries to get out to the site whenever Clariant and their consultants are working. Ms. Coles concluded that they need to have the master plan redone.

Wendy Dabney, 13-05 Ivy Lane and chair of the Environmental Commission asked about the test wells and the underground wall. Mr. Kullman stated the wall would be along the River and would be below ground. Clariant is required to maintain the geotextile even if they sell the site. What is there now meets industrial requirements. Something else will be required to meet residential standards. Ms. Dabney felt that the development could be a positive addition for the Borough but expressed concern that the environmental issues are not adequately addressed by DEP. They are looking for true oversight from the DEP. The Borough should not have to hire an environmental expert.

Taina Stremmer 3-06 Legion Place stated that they would like to see something better than those old buildings.

Maureen Moriarity, 14 Burnham Place asked for an explanation of the letter of no further action

and a letter of no further action covenant to sue. Mr. Teague explained that the State law requires that when a no further action letter is issued a no further action covenant to sue is issued.

They give no further action letters as companies clean up areas. Clariant would not receive a no further action covenant to sue until the DEP is completely satisfied with everything they do, but Clariant might get no further actions on different areas as they take care of them. It will be years before they receive no further action covenant to sue.

John McGarry, 15-07 3rd Street stated that he has lived in Fair Lawn since 1991. He asked if he was ever at a health risk. Mr. Blake replied that he was not. Mr. McGarry asked what the white shed that has a red light that comes on periodically was. Mr. Kullman stated that they operate a ground water treatment system on the site. That light is a visual alarm to check the system. The inspectors are on site 24 hours a day. Mr. McGarry indicated he was for progress. If this project is going to take a long time, he wondered if it was possible to demolish the buildings and get rid of the eyesore. Mr. Kullman stated they do not have concrete plans but he too would like to see it taken care of.

Felice Koplick, 6 Reading Terrace asked about the capping process and wondered how the foundations can sit on the soil without disturbing the contaminated soil. Mr. Bertin stated that the foundations have to be on suitably compacted soil. When the clean fill is put in, it must be compacted.

Mayor Weinstein thanked the experts for giving their time to answer these questions. He also thanked the public for attending the meeting as concerned citizens. The Mayor and Council will discuss it further at the next work session.

Page Twelve

Work Session

March 20, 2007

ADJOURNMENT:

Upon motion by Councilmember Baratta and a second by Deputy Mayor Etler, the meeting was adjourned at 11:00 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Joanne M. Kwasniewski, RMC/CMC/MMC
Municipal Clerk

The undersigned have read and approve the foregoing minutes.

Mayor Steven Weinstein

Councilmember Jeanne Baratta

Deputy Mayor Martin Etler

Deputy Mayor Joseph Tedeschi

Councilmember Edward Trawinski